

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,142	12/23/2003	Per H. Hammarlund	2207/17413	7461
2838 7590 10/31/2008 KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			PEUGH, BRIAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2187	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/743,142	743,142 HAMMARLUND ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Peugh	2187	

C	Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE
	1. \(\sumeq The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an am application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reperiods:	filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this tendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the te) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) 	2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONT Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK E MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corn under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	responding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CF filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perful.	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	AMENDMENTS	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the dat They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	
	(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appear appeal; and/or	al by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nur NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	mber of finally rejected claims.
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached I	Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted would be allowable	
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be enther how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or apport the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.3-11.13-18</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
	9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appee entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all reject showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earl	tions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of t REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the claims after entry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place The arguments are not persuasive in placing the application in condition for	or allowance. Applicant has argued on page 8 of the
	response that "the cited reference is directed flot two independent instru- first instruction and a second instruction dependent on the first instruction Examiner would like to point out that the first claim does not specifically re instruction with the cache miss; associating the cache miss with a second Examiner has noted two interpretations of the claim language as noted in	as specifically recited in independent claim 1". The cite this, in that the claim recites "associating the firs instruction dependent on the first instruction". The
	towards the latter half of paragraph [0003] for support for the first interpret has indicated that "there is no evidence, implicit or explicitly in the Free any way". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner would like different portions of the same line, in that each load is directed towards the	tation. As for the second interpretation, the Applicant Jerick referentce that the two instructions are related in to point out that the the load instructions are requesting e same cache line but different portions of the same
	cache line. The second load instuction is dependent upon the results of it return of the data for the merged first/second load instruction. According subject matter, as previously detailed in the Final Office Action, the Exami	to a broad and reasonable interpretation of the claimed
	claims 3-11 and 13-18, are proper	and ponered that the rejection of claim 1,45 well as
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper	er No(s)
	13. Other:	